

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,517	09/13/2001	Heidi Sue Dodson	020503-01-CA	2611
28880 7	590 04/28/2003			
WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY			EXAMINER	
2800 PLYMOUTH RD ANN ARBOR, MI 48105			GITOMER, RALPH J	
	,			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	7
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	8
			•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/682,517

Applicant(s)

Dodson et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Raiph Gitomer

Art Unit 1651



The MAILING D	PATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
	bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the I above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar					
	extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the later than three months after the mailing date of the					
earned patent term adjustment.	-		,			
Status						
	ommunication(s) filed on <u>Feb 21, 20</u>					
2a) X This action is FIN	IAL. 2b) ☐ This acti	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above,	claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) X Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8)		are subje	ct to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) fi	iled on is/are	a) accepted or b	o) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed dra	awing correction filed on	is: a) 🗆	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corre	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1. Certified co	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
appl	ne certified copies of the priority dolication from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	etailed Office action for a list of the	•				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
-	in is made of a claim for domestic	phonity under 35 U.S	3.C. 33 120 anu/01 121.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summer //	PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Par				
3) Information Disclosure State	-	6) Other:				

Serial No. 09/682,517
Art Unit 1651

The amendment received 2/21/2003 has been entered and claims
1-10 are currently pending in this application. The amended
abstract is unacceptable because the sentences are incomplete and

awkward.

5

10

15

20

25

In view of the amendments to the claims, the rejection of record of claims 1-10 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, is hereby withdrawn.

The objection to Fig. 3 is withdrawn in view of the information provided in the examples of the specification.

The rejection of record of claims 1-10 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Example 1 and Fig. 3 are presented as a working example and results which enables the claims. Test compounds are commercially available and from them one would be able to identify dual substrate enzyme inhibitors. References are cited regarding controls.

It is the examiner's position that the specification as originally filed fails to present any inhibitor found by the claimed method. No interpretable results are found in the file from the single assay described in the single Example. To state that test compounds are available does not enable a method to

Serial No. 09/682,517 Art Unit 1651

5

10

15

20 .

25

-3-

determine WHICH of the test compounds meet certain desired characteristics. The references provided have not been made of record because they do not meet the requirements of an IDS and more importantly, do not substitute for adequate and proper written description in the specification.

The rejection of record of claims 1-4, 8 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Reynolds is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Reynolds teaches assays in SPA format do not require washing steps and relies on the ligand binding to the support to bring the radioactive reacted product into scintillation proximity. The present claims require a separation step to remove unreacted radiolabeled second substrate from the reaction mixture. Further, Reynolds does not disclose two separate resins as required by the present invention which has both a capture resin and a scintillant resin.

It is the examiner's position that Reynolds teaches in paragraph 40, the separation of the radioactive product from the radiolabeled substrate IS required if one does not employ SPA. This indicates other types of assays do require the separation. To mix and match steps from various types of assays for the known functions is well known in this art and no functions of any steps are presently claimed.

Art Unit 1651

5

10

15

20

25

In paragraph 6 of Reynolds, a step is used to physically separate the radioactive product from the radiolabeled substrate. Then the radiolabeled product is quantitated by scintillation counting. In paragraph 41, the scintillant may be trapped in a different substrate than the one the ligand is bound to.

An undisclosed advantage is given little or no weight.

The rejection of record of claims 5 and 6 under 35 USC 103(a) over the combination of Reynolds in view of Mathews and Van Holde is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that there is no motivation to combine the references. Mathews does not make up for the deficiency of Reynolds.

It is the examiner's position that Mathews was cited to teach specific enzymes, phosphate transfer enzymes, are key in fatty acid biosynthesis. Mathews teaches that fatty acid biosynthesis is controlled by kinases and phosphatases and Reynolds is directed to fatty acid biosynthesis in general, see the abstract. The selection of specific enzymes well known in fatty acid synthesis would not appear critical the claimed invention which is merely directed to identifying an inhibitor of a dual substrate enzyme. Reynolds is discussed above regarding its relevance.

Serial No. 09/682,517 -5-Art Unit 1651

The rejection of record of claims 7, 9, and 10 under 35 USC 103(a) over the combination of Reynolds in view of Gul is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

5

10

15

20

25

Applicants argue that Gul teaches that only one resin is used in a SPA assay. There is no suggestion in Reynolds or Gul to use a separation step or use a capture resin separately from a scintillant resin in a SPA. There is no motivation to modify Reynolds for increasing sensitivity. Gul teaches that the SPA has the major advantage that the physical separation of product from substrate in not required. And Gul teaches away from the use of separate capture and scintillant resins.

It is the examiner's position that Gul clearly teaches the separation of the product formed and the residual substrate. And Gul teaches it is preferable but not required to bind both the radiolabeled compound and the ligand to the same substrate. Regarding increasing sensitivity, such is a well known desirable characteristic of assays.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of

-6-

Serial No. 09/682,517 Art Unit 1651

the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10

15

20

5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-0732. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4556. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235. For 24 hour access to patent application information 7 days per week, or for filing

Serial No. 09/682,517 Art Unit 1651 -7-

applications electronically, please visit our website at www.uspto.gov and click on the button *Patent Electronic Business Center* for more information.

5

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Group 1651

Rulanus

RALPH GITOMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

10